

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/840,244	05/07/2004	Yoshiyuki Ido	251560US90DIV	7581
22850	7590 04/24/2006		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			KARLSEN, ERNEST F	
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
	,		2829	

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/840,244	IDO, YOSHIYUKI			
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ernest F. Karlsen	2829			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
his application is abandoned in view of:					
 . ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on, but it does not give the proposed reply was received on 	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	·			
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);				
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		empt at a proper reply, to the non-			
(d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
a. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	5).				
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).	s received on (with a Certific eriod for payment of the issue fee (at	ate of Mailing or Transmission dated nd publication fee) set in the Notice of			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.				
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.				
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month	period set in, the Notice of			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.	with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is			
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.					
. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	signee of the entire interest, or all of			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a représ	sentative capacity under 37 CFR			
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 	ence rendered on and becausens.	se the period for seeking court review			
7. The reason(s) below:					
A telephone call to Mr. Philip J. Hoffmann on April 1 August 19, 2005.	4, 2006 confirmed that no paper	s have been filed subsequent to			
	Em	est 1 tarsen			
	F	ERNEST KARLSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER			